Bradley T. Cave, P.C. (Wyo. Bar # 5-2792) Joanna R. Vilos (Wyo. Bar # 6-4006) HOLLAND & HART LLP 2515 Warren Avenue, Suite 450 P.O. Box 1347 Cheyenne, WY 82003-1347

Cheyenne, WY 82003-1347 Telephone: (307) 778-4200 Facsimile: (307) 778-8175

Eli J. Richardson (admitted pro hac vice)

BASS, BERRY & SIMS PLC

150 Third Avenue South, Suite 2800

Nashville, TN 37201 Telephone: (615) 742-7825 Facsimile: (615) 742-0416

ATTORNEYS FOR HEALTHTECH MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF WYOMING

HEALTHTECH MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
v.	) )
PAUL CARDWELL,	Civil Action No. 12-CV-0042-F
Defendant.	) )
POWELL VALLEY HEALTH CARE, INC.,	STIPULATED MOTION  FOR PEAL ICNMENT
Cross-Claimant,	) FOR REALIGNMENT OF PARTIES
V.	) )
HEALTHTECH MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.; PAUL CARDWELL and MICHAEL J. PLAKE,	, ) )
Cross-Defendants.	<i>)</i> )

The Parties, through their undersigned counsel, move the Court for an Order Realigning the Parties, naming Powell Valley Health Care, Inc. (PVHC) as Plaintiff, HealthTech Management Services, Inc. (HealthTech) as Defendant/Crossclaimant, and Paul Cardwell (Cardwell) and Michael Plake (Plake) as Defendants. In support of this Motion, the Parties state as follows:

- 1. HealthTech commenced this action by filing its Complaint against Cardwell on February 23, 2012. HealthTech's Complaint asserts claims for Breach of Fiduciary Duty and Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing based on allegations that Cardwell implemented a scheme to defraud HealthTech's client, PVHC.
- 2. PVHC entered the lawsuit on April 13, 2012 by filing a motion to intervene. On April 30, 2012, the Court issued an order granting PVHC's motion and permitting PVHC to assert its proposed crossclaim.
- 3. PVHC's Crossclaim asserts Fraud/Theft and Intentional Misrepresentation against Cardwell and Plake, alleging that Cardwell and Plake fraudulently obtained more than \$847,000 from PVHC for physician recruiting services that were never rendered.
- 4. PVHC's Crossclaim also asserts that HealthTech is liable for Breach of Contract,
  Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing and Negligence. These claims
  against HealthTech are premised on the actions of Cardwell as HealthTech's alleged agent, as
  well as HealthTech's alleged failure to exercise proper oversight over Cardwell.

- 5. On or about May 24, 2012, HealthTech filed an answer to PVHC's Crossclaim. Therein, HealthTech not only responded to the allegations of PVHC's claims, but also asserted a crossclaim for indemnification against Cardwell; HealthTech seeks to be indemnified by Cardwell to the extent that it is ultimately liable to PVHC. HealthTech also crossclaimed against Plake under a civil conspiracy theory, on the grounds that Plake, as Cardwell's co-conspirator, is liable to HealthTech to the same extent as Cardwell.
- 6. The decision to realign the parties to a lawsuit lies within the sound discretion of the trial court. See, e.g., Moreau v. Oppenheim, 663 F.2d 1300, 1311 (5th Cir. 1981); Commercial Iron & Metal Co. v. Bache Halsey Stuart, Inc., 581 F.2d 246, 250 (10th Cir. 1978); Fink v. DeClassis, 132 F.R.D. 511, 512 (N.D. Ill. 1990). When faced with a question of whether the parties should be realigned, a "court must determine the 'principal purpose of the suit,' and 'the primary and controlling matter in dispute' and properly align the parties according to their interest." Liebau v. Columbia Cas. Co., 176 F. Supp. 2d 1236, 1243 (D. Kan. 2001) (citing City of Indianapolis v. Chase Nat'l Bank of the City of New York, 314 U.S. 63, 69 (1941) (addressing alignment of parties for purposes of diversity jurisdiction)); see also Dolch v. United California Bank, 702 F.2d 178, 181 (9th Cir. 1983) ("The courts, not the parties, are responsible for aligning the parties according to their interests in the litigation.") (citing Indianapolis v. Chase Nat'l Bank, 314 U.S. 63, 69).
- 7. PVHC is the only party with no claims directed toward it, and the only party with claims against each of the other three parties to this suit. Furthermore, central to each of the

claims between the parties is whether Cardwell, in association with Plake, embezzled money from, and defrauded, PVHC. As it is primarily PVHC's money at issue, and PVHC who seeks to recover that money, PVHC bears the burden of proof on the underlying Fraud/Theft and Intentional Misrepresentation claims; it likewise bears the burden of proof on its claims seeking recovery against HealthTech. Accordingly, PVHC is now properly the Plaintiff in this action, and all other parties are now properly deemed Defendants because PVHC asserts claims against them. Furthermore, because Defendant HealthTech asserts claims against the other two Defendants, HealthTech is now properly deemed a Defendant/Crossclaimant.

- 8. Realignment, as indicated above, is appropriate to reflect the true nature of the dispute and the respective positions of the parties to this lawsuit, now that all have been joined to it.
- 9. Realignment will promote the interests of efficiency and clarity by ensuring that if these claims are ultimately presented to a jury for determination, the evidence will proceed "in an orderly, sensible manner." *See, e.g., Allendale Mut. Ins. Co. v. Bull Data Sys., Inc.*, No. 91 C 6103, 1995 WL 5895, \*3 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 4, 1995) (citing FED. R. CIV. P. 16 and FED. R. EVID. 611). Clarity and simplicity will be advanced because, among other reasons, under the new alignment there will be merely a single "v" rather than the two that currently appear in the caption. By contrast, there are no valid considerations counseling against realigning the parties as requested.

10. If, on the other hand, the parties are left in their current alignment, confusion is likely to result. This is perhaps best demonstrated by the docket entry for PVHC's Crossclaim, which indicates that PVHC filed an Answer and Crossclaim (presumably because there is no option in the ECF system for filing a crossclaim without an answer), even though there was nothing for PVHC to answer because no claims in this action are asserted against PVHC.

11. While this litigation is in its early stages, the parties should be realigned to better reflect their interests and promote the efficient administration of this matter.<sup>1</sup>

WHEREFORE, the Parties jointly move the Court for an Order Realigning the Parties, naming PVHC as Plaintiff, HealthTech as Defendant/Crossclaimant, and Cardwell and Plake as Defendants.

A proposed order, with an updated caption, is submitted herewith for the Court's convenience.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Notwithstanding this Motion, the parties respectfully would reserve all of their respective rights to prosecute or defend this action separately and individually to the full extent authorized by law.

DATED: June 5, 2012.

#### /s/ Joanna R. Vilos

Bradley T. Cave P.C. (Wyo. Bar # 5-2792)

Joanna R. Vilos (Wyo. Bar # 6-4006)

HOLLAND & HART LLP

2515 Warren Avenue, Suite 450

P.O. Box 1347

Cheyenne, WY 82003-1347 Telephone: (307) 778-4200

Facsimile: (307) 778-8175 bcaye@hollandhart.com

jvilos@hollandhart.com

Eli J. Richardson (admitted pro hac vice)

BASS, BERRY & SIMS PLC

150 Third Avenue South, Suite 2800

Nashville, TN 37201

Telephone: (615) 742-7825 Facsimile: (615) 742-0416 richardsonei@bassberry.com

ATTORNEYS FOR HEALTHTECH MANAGEMENT

SERVICES, INC.

#### /s/ Tracy J. Copenhaver

Tracy J. Copenhaver (Wyo. Bar # 5-2063) Scott E. Kolpitcke (Wyo. Bar # 6-3083)

COPENHAVER, KATH, KITCHEN & KOLPITCKE

P. O. Box 839 Powell, WY 82435

Telephone: (307)754-2276

Facsimile: (307)754-4744 tracy@ckattorneys.net

ATTORNEYS FOR POWELL VALLEY HEALTH CARE,

INC.

#### /s/ Robert W. York

Robert W. York (admitted pro hac vice)

ROBERT W. YORK & ASSOCIATES

7212 North Shadeland Avenue, Suite 150

Indianapolis, IN 46250 Telephone: (317) 842-8000 Facsimile: (317) 577-7321

rwyork@york-law.com

Robert W. Horn (Wyo. Bar #5-1720)

ROBERT W. HORN, P.C.

P.O. Box 4199

230 East Broadway, Suite 3A

Jackson, WY 83001

Telephone: (307) 733-5747 Facsimile: (307) 733-8215

rhornatty@bliss.net

ATTORNEYS FOR PAUL CARDWELL

#### /s/ Michael Reese

Michael Reese

MICHAEL REESE, LLC

The Colony Building

211 West 19th Street, Suite 400

Cheyenne, WY 82001

mike@mhrwylaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR MICHAEL PLAKE

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on June 5, 2012, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing by

### CM/ECF addressed to the following:

Robert W. Horn ROBERT W. HORN, P.C. 230 East Broadway, Suite 3A P.O. Box 4199 Jackson Hole, WY 83001 rhornatty@me.com

Robert W. York ROBERT W. YORK & ASSOCIATES 7212 North Shadeland Avenue, Suite 150 Indianapolis, IN 46250 rwyork@york-law.com

Tracy J. Copenhaver COPENHAVER, KATH, KITCHEN & KOLPITCKE, LLC P.O. Box 839 Powell, WY 82435 tracy@ckattorneys.net

Michael Reese MICHAEL REESE, LLC The Colony Building 211 West 19th Street, Suite 400 Cheyenne, WY 82001 mike@mhrwylaw.com

/s/ Joanna R. Vilos

5603770\_2.DOCX